## Supporting Study of High-Confidence Criticality-Aware Distributed CPHS in GENI

Sandeep K. S. Gupta Impact Lab (<u>http://impact.asu.edu</u>) Computer Science and Engineering Affiliated with EE, BMI, BME Arizona State University sandeep.gupta@asu.edu





## Sandeep K. S. Gupta, IEEE Senior Member

Heads **MPACT** •

**@** School of Computing & Informatics



Use-inspired, Human-centric research in distributed cyber-physical systems



Email: Sandeep.Gupa@asu.edu; IMPACT Lab URL: http://impact.asu.edu;

## Motivation

- Challenges Traffic congestion, Energy Scarcity, Climate Change, Medical Cost ...
- Need Smart Infrastructure distributed CPHS (Cyber-Physical-Human System (of systems))
- Criticality-awareness: the ability of the system to respond to unusual situations, which may lead to disaster (with associated loss of life and/or property)
  - How to design, develop, and test criticality-aware software for CPHS systems?
- Unifying Framework for Safe (Energy-Efficient) Spatio-Temporal Resource Management for CPHS
  - Thermal-Aware Scheduling for Data Centers and Bio Sensor Network (within Human Body)





#### **Example Scenario**



#### Grand challenges for Distributed CPS



Recommendations from Real-time Embedded Systems GENI Workshop, Sep. 2006

- Recommendations for real-time and embedded networking infrastructure atop the GENI substrate
  - Uniform representation of time and physical location information,
  - End to end timing predictability across wired and wireless mobile networks,
  - Co-existence of guaranteed, managed and best-effort QoS services,
  - Quantified safety, reliability, availability, security and privacy,
  - Scalability across small deployments to national and world-wide deployments, and

Compatibility with regulatory organizations' requirements.

#### Properties - Cyber Physical Human Systems

- Tight coupling between physical and cyber-world
- Human-in-the-loop
- Heterogeneous entities with order of magnitude difference in capabilities, e.g. sensors, medical devices, servers, handheld computing devices, and Humans.

## "HOT" Mission Critical Applications – Example of Environmental Effects on Networks



- Nodes exposed to the sun might easily reach 65C and above
- Temperature at nodes in a wildfire monitoring application have reported to reach 95C.

How to compensate for temperature effects at design/runtime?

### **Communication Range**



Depending on the path loss model, losses due temperature cause reduction in range comprised between **40%** and **60%** the max. value



Average Connectivity = 8.94. Connected nodes = 100%. Avg. Path Length = 2.95. **Network seems reliable.** 



Average Connectivity = 4.57. Connected nodes = 98%. Avg. Path Length = 4.93. **Few nodes are disconnected.** 

#### Network Connectivity @ 65°C



Average Connectivity = 4.57. Connected nodes = 0%. The sink is completely disconnected from the rest of the network!

### Physical Aspects of CPS Security

- Modifying physical environment around the CPS can cause security breach
- Example
  - Smart-car's theft protection system fails completely if it is fooled into thinking the car is on fire by trigger specific sensors.
  - No amount of securing all the other components will help
- The problem is compounded if security solutions for CPS depend on environmental stimuli for efficiency purposes
- Example
  - Physiological value based security (PVS) utilizes common physiological signals from the body for key agreement
  - If one of the sensors is fooled into measuring incorrect physiological signals (by breaking the sensor-body interface), the whole process breaks down

#### Fundamental differences with Cyber Security

- Threat Model is fundamentally different
- The point of entry for traditional (cyber-only) is essentially cyber
  - Example Attacker hacking a computing system through a network
- CPHS it can be cyber, environmental (physical), and human
- CPHS system has several aspects each of which need to be secured— <u>Securing the environment and its interaction with other</u>
  - Environment following unique to CPHS
  - Sensing
  - Communication Securing these addressed in traditional cyber security
  - Processing
  - Feedback
  - Humans

### **GENI and CPHS Security Solutions**

- GENI therefore needs to provide the ability
  - To simulate/emulate diverse situations in which CPHS are deployed in real situations
  - To program the CPHS components to behave maliciously based on both cyber and environmental attacks.
  - Ability to sand-box cyber and physical components of the CPHS for evaluation various aspects of the attacks and defense mechanisms.
  - Collect feedback on security solutions' performance.

#### Some Results from IMPACT Lab

- Analytical model to minimize energy overhead of pro-active protocols for wireless networks
  - Classifies pro-active protocols based on periodic updates performed
  - Minimizes update overhead for all classes by finding optimum update periods based on link dynamics, network size, traffic intensity, and end-to-end reliability requirements
- Theory of *criticality* capturing effects of critical events, which can lead to loss of lives/property.
- Probabilistic planning of response actions for fire emergencies in off-shore oil & gas production platforms.
- Criticality-aware access control policies for mission critical systems.
- Physiological Value based security for Body Sensor Networks

Environment-aware Communication Modeling & Network Design

#### Our Approaches to Enable Criticality-Aware CPHS Study in GENI

## Theory of Criticality & Probabilistic Planning

- Critical events
  - Causes emergencies/crisis.
  - Leads to loss of lives/property
- Criticality
  - Effects of critical events on the smart-infrastructure.
  - Critical State state of the system under criticality.
  - Window-of-opportunity (W) temporal constraint for criticality.
- Manageability effectiveness of the criticality response actions to minimize loss of lives/property.
- State based stochastic model capturing *qualifiedness* of the performed actions to improve manageability of critical events.



Probabilistic action planning to maximize manageability Workshop on GENI and Security – Jan 22-23, 2009





#### Crises Management – Fire in Smart-Building









### Criticality Response Modeling (CRM) Framework











## Unifying Framework for Modeling Spatio-Temporal Cyber-Physical Effects



# Environmental Coupled Distributed CPS

- Terminologies
  - Self-interference
  - Environment –interference
  - Cross-interference
- Disturbance models
  - Quantitative model
  - Temporal model
  - Spatial model
  - Comprehensive model
- Individual design approach
- Network/system operation approach





## System Model



#### System performance depends on the thermal distribution





## **Tissue Heating**

- Medical sensors implanted/worn by human need to be safe.
- Sensor activity causes heating in the tissue.
  - Heating caused by RF inductive powering
  - Radiation from wireless communication
  - Power dissipation of circuitry
- Goal: minimize tissue heating.
- Two solutions:
  - Communication scheduling for minimizing thermal effects:
    - Rotate cluster leader balance energy usage + distribute heat dissipation
  - Thermal aware routing: route around thermal hotspots





**Disturbance Minimization** 



			B	SN	Sc	cheduling	Medium 2(Body tissue) $\epsilon_{2,} \mu_{2,} \sigma_{2}$	Medium 1(free space) $\epsilon_{1,}\mu_{1},\sigma_{1}$
					S	ystem Model	Transmitted Wave	Incident Plane Wave with power $P_0$
_		SAR =	σ E² / ρ	(W/kg)	·	Consider only Cluster Lee	ader depth d >	RF Powering O
<ul> <li>Requirement</li> <li>FCC Regulation</li> </ul>		E = induced Electric Field P = tissue density σ = electric conductivity of tissue			•	2D Model Rotate cluster	Control Volume and	→ Source →
head - dist.							a cluster of biosensors	
CE	Whole Body Average	SAR = 0.4W/Kg	Peak Local	SAR = 8W/Kg	]	consump.	Temperature Bio-hea	a Rise: Pennes t Equation
UCE	Whole Body Average	SAR = .08W/Kg	Peak Local	SAR = 1.6W/Kg	$\begin{bmatrix} & \rho \\ \\ & \\ & acc \end{bmatrix}$	$C_p rac{dx}{dt} = K \bigtriangledown^2 T +  ho SAR$ Heat Heat transfer Heat	$R - b(T - T_b) + L$ by Heat transfer H tion by convection	$P_{circuitry} + Q_m$ leat by power reat by dissipation metabolism
Solu	tion			5 <b>0</b>		Results		
• Random selection <sup>5</sup> •••• <sup>2</sup> <sup>5</sup> ••• <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>					<b>o</b> <sup>2</sup>	FDTD + enumeration	Optimal	720960 hrs (est.)
may lead to higher				2	FDTD + Genetic Algorithr	m Near Optimal	100 hrs (est.)	
te	temperature rise       4       3       4       3         (a) Ideal Rotation       (b) Nearest Rotation       (c) Farthest Rotation					TSP +enumeration	Optimal	7.6 hrs
• JI	milar to Travel	ing mihut <b>F</b> r	our Annroa	chos		TSP + Genetic Algorithm	Near Optima	5 min
• H se se	euristic: Leade election based ensor location, tation history	etric • F r • F on / • T	FDTD + enum FDTD + Gene Algorithm FSP + enume FSP +Genetic	eration tic ration	0.11 0.11 0.09 0.09 0.08 0.09 0.08 0.08 0.09 0.00	rst Dynamic Manual Genetic	nperature an ± Deviation an Optimal	Temp rise in sensor surroundings
	Q. Tan	a. N. Tumm	ala. S. K. S. G	upta, and L	Schv	viebert. Communication scl	hedulina to minimi	ze



L

thermal effects of implanted biosensor networks in homogeneous tissue, Proc of IEEE Transactions of Biomedical Engineering



# Data center Energy Consumption

#### What are datacenters

Server farms, IT centers, computer rooms

#### Why they are important

- Centralized management, powerful computation capabilities
- Backbones of Internet Infrastructure

# Why thermal management is important

- Improve reliability
- Reduce system down time
- Save energy cost !!
  - \$400,000 annually to power a 1,000 volume server-unit data center, then how much for this
- More than 40% is cooling cost









## **Ecosystem of Datacenters**

Different task assignments lead to different power consumption distributions

Different power consumption distributions lead to different temperature distributions

Different temperature distributions lead to different total energy costs









Server load distribution



Power consumption distribution

Temperature distribution

Energy cost 28



Workshop on GENI and Security - Jan 22-23, 2009

## Interference in Datacenters

#### •Observation

 Airflow patterns are stable (confirmed through CFD simulations)

#### •Hypothesis

- The amount of recirculated heat is stable, can be quantified as recirculation coefficients
- Define α<sub>ij</sub> as the percentage of recirculated heat from node i to node j







# Two Studied CyberPhysical Applications

Application scenario	Implanted biomedical sensor networks	Computing nodes of data center clusters
Objective	find the best leadership sequence to minimize the temperature rise	find the best task assignment to minimize the energy cost
Heat transfer mechanism	Convection, conduction and radiation.	Convection
Original numerical simulation	Finite Difference Time Domain	Computational Fluid Dynamics
Abstract Model: the function F(·)	Time-space function	Cross interference coefficients
Placement or scheduling: the function $H(\cdot)$	Temporal domain	Spatial domain





## Conclusions

- Supporting interaction of Cyber and Physical Environment in GENI – essential to study important applications such as pervasive health monitoring, remote surgery etc.
- Makes GENI itself a CPHS system
- Would enable study of important issues such as subtle (or event emergent) interactions between Security and Safety

## Questions ??



Impact Lab (<u>http://impact.asu.edu</u>)

Creating Humane Technologies for Ever-Changing World





Workshop on GENI and Security - Jan 22-23, 2009