

The Need for a Standard for the Format and Content of Audit Trails

Katherine Price

Purdue University

COAST Computer Security Lab

kep@cs.purdue.edu

Topics of Discussion

- Problem of No Widely Accepted Standard
- Difficulties with Lack of Content
- Difficulties with Tool Migration
- Difficulties with Data Reconciliation
- Some Proposed Standards
- Current Work to Develop a Standard

No Widely Accepted Standard

- Each audit source creates its own ad-hoc standard for format and content
 - the format for the audit trails varies greatly from system to system
 - each system gathers different data based on what the developer believed was important
- Disparity in format and content of audit data impedes progress in intrusion detection

Impediments to Progress in Intrusion Detection Methods

- Three major difficulties face intrusion detection techniques
 - difficulties with lack of content
 - difficulties with tools migration
 - difficulties with data reconciliation
- A standard for format and content would help overcome these impediments

Difficulties with Lack of Content

- Many current auditing systems do not supply enough data
 - lack of record activities
 - lack of detail
- Intrusions are not being detected because of insufficient evidence in audit trail

Bindings and Lack of Detail

- Audit data often does not contain enough information to resolve bindings
 - files names are transient bindings that may change over the life of the file
 - file descriptors, such as inode numbers in UNIX, are fixed throughout the life of the file
- Race condition attacks often take advantage of binding resolution problems

Difficulties with Tool Migration

- Many detection tools are designed for a particular audit source
- Difficulties in changing audit source
 - disparity in types of data available
 - » algorithms tailored for particular data may become ineffective
 - converting between formats is difficult
- Disparity in audit data makes it difficult to migrate tools to new audit sources

Difficulties with Data Reconciliation

- Detection systems must analyze data from multiple sources to uncover new, sophisticated attacks
- Many possible sources of information
 - applications and operating systems
 - firewalls and routers
- Disparity in audit data makes it difficult to reconcile multiple audit sources

Some Proposed Standards

■ Standards for Content

– C2 Level Audit

- » standard is too broad
- » different interpretations of “security relevant event”

■ Standards for Format

– ASAX’s NADF

– Bishop’s Format

- » Both handle UNIX OK, but difficulties may arise with other sources, especially with hierarchical data